







**Skenè Texts DA • 5**

*“The bees that sat upon the Grecian’s lips”:  
Classical Contaminations in  
Early Modern English Drama*

Edited by Alessandro Grilli and Emanuel Stelzer



Edizioni ETS

S K E N È *Texts and Studies*. Text DA

|                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <i>Executive Editor</i>           | Guido Avezzi.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| <i>General Editors</i>            | Guido Avezzi, Silvia Bigliazzi.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| <i>Editorial Board</i>            | Chiara Battisti, Simona Brunetti, Camilla Caporicci, Sidia Fiorato, Sotera Fornaro, Massimo Fusillo, Felice Gambin, Alessandro Grilli, Chiara Lombardi, Leonardo Mancini, Michele Marrapodi, Stefania Onesti, Nicola Pasqualicchio, Antonietta Provenza, Susan Payne, Cristiano Ragni, Antonio Sánchez Jiménez, Alessandra Squeo, Emanuel Stelzer, Savina Stevanato, Martina Treu, Gherardo Ugolini, Antonio Ziosi.                                                |
| <i>Managing Editors</i>           | Valentina Adami, Cristiano Ragni.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| <i>Assistant Managing Editors</i> | Marco Duranti, Roberta Zanoni.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| <i>Editorial Staff</i>            | Chiara Battisti, Petra Bjelica, Francesco Dall'Olio, Bianca Del Villano, Serena Demichelis, Carina Fernandes, Sidia Fiorato, Leonardo Mancini, Antonietta Provenza, Beatrice Righetti, Carla Suthren.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| <i>Typesetting</i>                | Lorenza Baglieri, Cristiano Ragni.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| <i>Advisory Board</i>             | Anna Maria Belardinelli, Anton Bierl, Enoch Brater, Jean-Christophe Cavallin, Richard Allen Cave, Rosy Colombo, Claudia Corti, Marco De Marinis, Tobias Döring, Pavel Drábek, Paul Edmondson, Keir Douglas Elam, Ewan Fernie, Patrick Finglass, Enrico Giaccherini, Mark Griffith, Daniela Guardamagna, Stephen Halliwell, Robert Henke, Pierre Judet de la Combe, Eric Nicholson, Guido Paduano, Franco Perrelli, Didier Plassard, Donna Shalev, Susanne Wofford. |

*SKENÈ. Texts and Studies* (<https://textsandstudies.skeneproject.it/index.php/TS>)

Supplement to *SKENÈ. Journal of Theatre and Drama Studies*

Copyright ©September 2025 S K E N È. Texts and Studies

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[info@skeneproject.it](mailto:info@skeneproject.it)

Edizioni ETS

Palazzo Roncioni - Lungarno Mediceo, 16, I-56127 Pisa

[info@edizioniets.com](mailto:info@edizioniets.com)

[www.edizioniets.com](http://www.edizioniets.com)

Distribuzione

Messaggerie Libri SPA

Sede legale: via G. Verdi 8 - 20090 Assago (MI)

Promozione

PDE PROMOZIONE SRL

via Zago 2/2 - 40128 Bologna

ISBN (pdf) 978-88467-7273-2

ISBN 978-88467-7274-9

ISSN 2464-9295



The ClaRE series collects publications about the receptions of Greek and Greek-related material in early modern English culture. The editions are expanded versions of the texts collected in the ClaRE Archive (<https://clare.dlls.univr.it/>), which presents three online databases of early modern English texts documenting Greek legacies, often via Latin mediations, as well as printed editions of Greek texts in England up to 1625 (GEMS, EMEC, CoLEEn). It also includes Latin and English grammars which show memories of Greek traditions (EMEGA). The series is part of the Research Project of National Interest PRIN2017XAA3ZF supported by the Italian Ministry of Education, University, and Research (MUR).



# Contents

|                                                                                                                                    |     |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Contributors                                                                                                                       | 9   |
| ALESSANDRO GRILLI AND EMANUEL STELZER                                                                                              |     |
| Introduction                                                                                                                       | 15  |
| 1. WILLIAM N. WEST                                                                                                                 |     |
| Comedy, <i>Contaminatio</i> , and Cosmopolitanism                                                                                  | 35  |
| 2. FRANCESCO MOROSI                                                                                                                |     |
| The Importance of Being Simple. Thomas Randolph,<br>Ben Jonson and the Reception of <i>Clouds</i><br>in Early Modern English Drama | 61  |
| 3. TOM HARRISON                                                                                                                    |     |
| Plautine Influence in Thomas Tomkis' <i>Albumazar</i><br>and George Ruggle's <i>Ignoramus</i>                                      | 87  |
| 4. JANE RAISCH                                                                                                                     |     |
| Puppeting the Classics: Reception and Artifice<br>in Ben Jonson's <i>Bartholomew Fair</i>                                          | 111 |
| 5. CARLO M. BAJETTA                                                                                                                |     |
| "More lines than is in the new map": Modern and Ptolemaic<br>Cosmography in <i>Twelfth Night</i>                                   | 133 |
| 6. YVES PEYRÉ                                                                                                                      |     |
| Proserpine's Daughters? On Shakespeare and the Greek<br>Romances                                                                   | 155 |
| 7. EVGENIIA GANBERG                                                                                                                |     |
| Defining Deification: Thomas Heywood's<br><i>The Golden Age</i>                                                                    | 183 |

|                                                               |     |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 8. DOMENICO LOVASCIO                                          |     |
| The Failure of the Classics in John Fletcher's                |     |
| <i>The Mad Lover</i>                                          | 205 |
| 9. FRANCESCO DALL'OLIO                                        |     |
| "The seat of mighty conquerors": Marlowe's <i>Tamburlaine</i> |     |
| and the Influence of the Ancient Persian Empire               | 225 |
| 10. SUSANNE L. WOFFORD                                        |     |
| Dismemberment and Bacchic <i>Sparagmos</i> in Shakespeare's   |     |
| <i>Coriolanus</i>                                             | 249 |

### Coda

|                                                      |     |
|------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 11. MARCO DURANTI                                    |     |
| Less Greek? Readership, Scholarship, and Printing of |     |
| Greek Books in Early Modern England                  | 293 |
| Index                                                | 311 |



## Contributors

**Carlo M. Bajetta**, PhD, FEA, is Professor of English at Università della Valle d'Aosta, Italy and the general Editor, together with Jonathan Gibson, of the new Oxford University Press edition of Sir Walter Raleigh's works. He has contributed to *The Oxford Dictionary of National Biography*, the *Annual Bibliography of English Language and Literature*, and the *Oxford Online Bibliographies* (OUP). His publications include *Sir Walter Raleigh* (1998); *Whole volumes in folio* (2000); *Some notes on Printing and Publishing in Renaissance Venice* (2000), *Shakespearean Readings: Shakespeare, Keats, Shelley* (2004, with Luisa Camaiora) and, together with G. Coatalen and J. Gibson, *Elizabeth I's Foreign Correspondence: Letters, Rhetoric, and Politics* (2014). He has edited R.B. McKerrow's 1928 Sandars Lectures (*Studies in Bibliography*, 2000), Wordsworth's, Shelley's and Reynold's 1819 *Peter Bell* poems (2005), C.S. Lewis's *Letters to Children* (2009, Italian ed.) and Thomas More's *English Poems* (2010, bilingual ed.). His edition of the Italian letters of Elizabeth I was published in 2017. He currently is working on a biography of Sir Walter Raleigh for Reaktion Books, London. He has acted as editor in chief of the scholarly journal *Textus: English Studies in Italy* (2019-2023) and a member of the Italian national commission for the Evaluation of Scholarly Journals (Humanities section). An article documenting his discovery of new poems by Raleigh and other Renaissance courtiers appeared in the *Times Literary Supplement* in 2022.

**Francesco Dall'Olio** holds a PhD in Philology, Literature and Linguistics from the University of Verona. He was twice a visiting research fellow at the Gallatin School for Individualized Studies

(NYU) and a postdoc fellow at La Vallée D'Aoste University and Verona University within the 2017 PRIN Project "Classical Receptions in Early Modern English Drama". He has published several articles on the reception of Greek literature in Renaissance England, focussing on Alexander Neville's translation of Seneca's *Oedipus* (2018), Thomas Preston's *Cambises* (2020), Shakespeare's *A Midsummer Night's Dream* (2021), and Christopher Marlowe's *Tamburlaine the Great* (2022). He has recently published articles on the indebtedness of Shakespeare's *Othello* to Seneca and on William Cornwallis' *Praise of Richard III* (both 2023), as well as his first monograph *King Tyrannos. Pensiero greco e tirannide nell'Inghilterra rinascimentale* (2024).

**Marco Duranti** is currently a member of the Skenè Research Centre at the University of Verona. He holds a PhD in Ancient Greek Literature from the universities of Verona and Freiburg i. Br. He has been postdoctoral researcher for two years within the 2017 PRIN project on the reception of Greek literature in early modern England. He has published articles and book chapters on Euripides, Aristophanes, Greek theatre in general, and the reception of Greek literature especially in early modern England. He has also published a monograph entitled *Ecclesiae et Rei Publicae: Greek Drama and the Education of the Ruling Class in Elizabethan England* (Skenè Texts and Studies, 2022).

**Evgeniia Ganberg** is a PhD student in English at Trinity College, University of Cambridge. Her research focuses on the comic treatment of the myth of the Trojan War in early modern English literature. Unearthing and exploring texts which range from sixteenth-century interludes to early eighteenth-century fair drolls, from Elizabethan lament literature to Restoration mock-poetry and burlesque translation, Evgeniia's dissertation shows that comedy characterises, to a much greater extent than has been acknowledged, the early modern response to this foundational story and suggests that it is the period's obsession with exemplarity and imitation that makes comedy so pervasive.

**Alessandro Grilli** is Associate Professor of Classics and Comparative Literature at the University of Pisa. He has written extensively

on ancient drama and the tradition of classical literatures. His research interests also encompass literary theory, applied rhetoric, film and genre studies. He has published monographs and essays on ancient and modern authors (from Aristophanes to Proust, from Catullus to Walter Siti), as well as on issues of argumentation theory and film analysis. His current projects include studies on the aesthetics of horror and a monograph on the pragmatics of literature. His latest monograph, co-authored with Francesco Morosi, is about Aristophanes' influence on the comedies of Ben Jonson (*Action, Song, and Poetry. Musical and Poetical Meta-performance in Aristophanes and Ben Jonson*, ETS - Skenè Studies II, 5, 2023).

**Tom Harrison** is Lecturer in Early Modern Drama, Newcastle University, UK. He is the author of *Imitation and Contamination of the Classics in the Comedies of Ben Jonson: Guides Not Commanders* (Routledge 2023), a book that explores the links between Ben Jonson's dramaturgy and the works of ancient comedy. His research interests include early modern receptions of the classics and early modern performance practices, and he has been published in *Early Theatre*, *The Ben Jonson Journal*, and *Shakespeare*. His next project is a digital edition of Thomas Tomkis' 1614/15 university comedy *Albumazar*, co-edited with Dr Rachel White (Durham University), which will be published by Digital Renaissance Editions.

**Domenico Lovascio** is Associate Professor of English Literature at the University of Genoa and a Fellow of the Royal Historical Society. He is the author of *John Fletcher's Rome: Questioning the Classics* for the Revels Plays Companion Library (Manchester University Press 2022). He has edited Fletcher and Massinger's *The False One* and Fletcher, Massinger, and Field's *Thierry and Theodoret* for the Revels Plays (Manchester University Press 2022 and 2024), as well as *The Householder's Philosophy* for *The Collected Works of Thomas Kyd* (Boydell and Brewer 2024). He is the Italian advisor to the Oxford edition of *The Complete Works of John Marston*, a member of the editorial board of the journal *Shakespeare*, and a contributing editor to the forthcoming *Collected Plays of Robert Greene* (Edinburgh University Press). He has also edited the Arden Early Modern Drama Guide to Shakespeare's *Antony and Cleopatra* (Bloomsbury

2019) and a special issue of the journal *Shakespeare*. His research has appeared or is forthcoming in such journals as *Shakespeare Survey*, *English Literary Renaissance*, *Medieval and Renaissance Drama in England*, and elsewhere. In 2020 he received the Ben Jonson Discoveries Award. He is currently guest-editing a special issue of *The Ben Jonson Journal* to celebrate the quatercentenary of Fletcher's death (1625-2025) and working on a Revels Plays edition of *Women Pleased* with Michela Compagnoni.

**Francesco Morosi** is a Hellenist at the University of Udine. His main field of study is ancient drama (both tragedy and comedy) and its reception in the modern and contemporary eras. He authored monographs on Aristophanes, Sophocles, and Aeschylus. His latest work is a new commented edition of Aeschylus' *Eumenides*. He regularly collaborates, as translator, Dramaturg, and consultant, with theatres throughout Europe: among them, the Greek Theatre in Siracusa, the Biennale (Venice), La Comédie Française (Paris).

**Yves Peyré** is Emeritus Professor of English literature at Université de Montpellier Paul Valéry. He is Honorary President of the Société Française Shakespeare and a former vice-chancellor of Université de Montpellier Paul Valéry. His publications include *La Voix des mythes dans la tragédie élisabéthaine* (Paris, 1996); *William Shakespeare: 'Venus and Adonis'* (Didier-Erudition, 1998); and numerous essays on classical reception, which have appeared in the UK, the USA, France, Switzerland, Italy and Norway.

**Jane Raisch** is Lecturer in Renaissance and Early Modern literature in the department of English and Related Literature at the University of York. She works on the reception of Greek antiquity in sixteenth and seventeenth-century England and Europe, and her current book project explores the influence of Hellenistic and Second Sophistic Greek literature on early modern practices of fiction and scholarship. Her work has been published in *ELH*, *LIAS*, and elsewhere and she has received fellowships from the New York Public Library; the Society for the History of Authorship, Reading, and Publication; the Huntington Library, and other institutions.

**Emanuel Stelzer** is Associate Professor of English Literature at the University of Verona. He is the author of *Portraits in Early Modern English Drama: Visual Culture, Play-Texts, and Performances* (Routledge 2019) and of *Shakespeare Among Italian Criminologists and Psychiatrists, 1870s-1920s* (Skenè – Texts and Studies 2021). His articles have appeared in journals including *Critical Survey*, *Early Theatre*, *The Journal of English and Germanic Philology*, *English Studies*, *Notes and Queries*, *The Huntington Library Quarterly*, and *Skenè. Journal of Theatre and Drama Studies*. His main interests are early modern English literature and drama, textual studies, and theatre history, with a particular interest in source studies and early modern paradoxes. His work on William Sampson has earned him the Huntington Library Quarterly Centennial Essay Prize; he has also translated into Italian Philip Massinger's *The Picture* (Aracne 2017) and John Milton's *Comus* (ETS 2020). Emanuel Stelzer is managing editor of *Skenè. Journal of Theatre and Drama Studies* and contributes to *The Year's Work in English Studies*.

**William N. West** is Professor of English, Classics, and Comparative Literary Studies at Northwestern University, where he studies, teaches, and thinks about the performance practices, literatures, and cultures of early modern England and Europe, as they circulated and changed from their points of origin to later periods and other cultures. His book *Common Understandings, Poetic Confusion: Playhouses and Playgoers in Elizabethan England* (University of Chicago Press 2021) won the 2022 Joe A. Callaway Prize for Best Book on Drama and Theatre. He has also published *As If: Essays in As You Like It* (punctum 2016) and *Theatres and Encyclopedias in Early Modern Europe* (Cambridge University Press, 2002). He edits the scholarly journal *Renaissance Drama*. His current research is on Renaissance *Nachleben*: afterlives of the Renaissance in scholarly and popular imagination from the fifteenth century to the present.

**Susanne L. Wofford** is Professor of English and Individualized Study at New York University. Previously, she served for 15 years as the Dean of NYU's Gallatin School of Individualized Study. A cofounder of the Theater Without Borders International Research Collaborative, she served as President of the Shakespeare

Association of America in 2002-2003, and recently on the Executive Committee of the International Spenser Society, from which she received the Colin Clout Award for Lifetime Achievement (2022). She is author of *The Choice of Achilles: The Ideology of Figure in the Epic* (1992), co-editor of *Epic Traditions in the Contemporary World* (1999); editor, *Shakespeare: The Late Tragedies* (1995) and *Hamlet: Case Studies in Contemporary Criticism* (1994), and as well as articles on Spenser, Shakespeare and Renaissance fiction and drama. Her recent work focuses on transnational early modern drama [with articles on *As You Like It* and Italian Pastoral, Foreign Emotions in *Twelfth Night*, and *Two Gentlemen of Verona* with Lope's *El perro del hortelano*], and on Shakespeare and Ancient Greek and Roman theater including an essay on *Much Ado About Nothing* and Euripides' *Alceste*, in *Rethinking Shakespeare Source Study*, eds. Britton and Walter (2018)]. Most recently, she co-edited with Jane Tylus, a Special Section of *Renaissance Drama* (fall 2022) on "Translation, Trans-nation, Trans-Desire" which included her essay on Ana Caro's *Valor agravio y mujer*.

# Introduction

ALESSANDRO GRILLI AND EMANUEL STELZER

## 1. Bees on Grecian Lips, Grecian Words in English Ears

The quotation used for the title of this volume is from the following passage in Thomas Lodge's play *The Wounds of Civil War* (ca. 1588). At the end of Act 4, a Captain asks his soldiers to behead the old orator Mark Antony (the grandfather of the famous triumvir of the same name). The context is that of the war between Gaius Marius and Sulla. Drawing on his sources – Appian and especially Plutarch,<sup>1</sup> whose works had recently been translated into English in 1578 and 1579 respectively – Lodge portrays Mark Antony using his eloquence to charm his assassins. One of them wonders: “Why, what enchanting terms of art are these?” (Lodge 1594, G4v);<sup>2</sup> the other exclaims: “His art doth draw my soul from out my lips”. The orator states that only “a barbarous heart” could prompt them to “harm Roman Antony” (ibid.). He extols Roman civic virtues against tyranny and the soldiers refuse to kill him: the Captain must intervene. He stabs Mark Antony and asks the others to behead him. Once the deed is done, the two soldiers reminisce about their victim's Greek-inflected oratory, while (perhaps tastelessly) channelling the myth of Orpheus's decapitated head:

1 Lodge and his contemporaries could read in North's translation of Plutarch's *Parallel Lives* that Mark Antony's “tongue was as sweet as a syren” (Plutarch 1998, 286).

2 Quotations from Lodge's play and other early modern texts have been silently modernised.

FIRST SOLDIER Even in this head did all the Muses dwell:

The bees that sat upon the Grecian's lips  
Distill'd their honey on his temper'd tongue.

SECOND SOLDIER The crystal dew of fair Castalian springs

With gentle floatings trickled on his brains;  
The Graces kissed his kind and courteous brows,  
Apollo gave the beauties of his harp,  
And melodies unto his pliant speech.

(H1r)

Unmoved by this tableau, the Captain calls Mark Antony a “false orator” and asks his “countrymen” to consider the head as “guerdon fit for Marius’ foe / Whom dread Apollo prosper in his rule” (ibid.). However, they are immediately told that Marius has died. The English play shows that Greeks and Romans may share their worship for Apollo but the pleasures which Greek art could give the Romans are shrouded in ambivalence. Claire Bardelmann (2010) interprets the “Grecian” referred to in the passage as Homer, as does Joel B. Altman, who highlights that Mark Antony’s

inability to persuade is conceived not so much as the failure of reasoning . . . as the failure of imaginative language . . . It comes as something of a shock to recall that it is one of the interlocutors of *De oratore* whom Lodge is comparing to Homer, until we realize that his words reflect the assimilation of rhetoric by poetic as the final decade of the sixteenth century was approaching. (1978, 286)

Of course, the motif of bees as ‘birds of the Muses’ lingering on an author’s lips, especially in his/her infancy, can be found in multiple ancient texts and it is not just Homer who was said to have experienced this phenomenon: “These poets include Homer (Anthol. Pal. 2.342), Hesiod, Pindar, Sophocles, Plato, Menander (Anthol. Pal. 9.187)” as well as, in the Roman context, “Virgil, and Lucan” (Scheinberg 1979, 24). The vocabulary used by the University Wit Lodge seems to point to the anonymous epigram in praise of Menander collected in the Greek Anthology (9.187) which circulated widely ever since the 1494 princeps and the subsequent editions such as those by Aldus and Henri Estienne.<sup>3</sup> If this were

3 The epigram in question was rendered into Latin for instance by



the case, the dramatic situation proposed by Lodge carries a note of irony. Unlike the Greek poet's comforting assertion of Menander's enduring worth for Athens' glory, the praise here comes after the orator's death. Apollo's generosity has proved hollow:

αὐταὶ σοι στομάτεσσιν ἀνηρείψαντο μέλισσαι  
 ποικίλα Μουσάων ἄνθεα δρεψάμεναι:  
 αὐταὶ καὶ Χάριτές σοι δωρήσαντο, Μένανδρε,  
 στωμύλον εὐτυχίην δράμασιν ἐνθέμεναι.  
 ζῶεις εἰς αἰῶνα τὸ δὲ κλέος ἐστὶν Ἀθήναις  
 ἐκ σέθεν οὐρανίων ἀπτόμενον νεφέων.

[The bees themselves, culling the varied flowers of the Muses, bore off the honey to thy lips; the Graces themselves bestowed their gift on thee, Menander, endowing thy dramas with fluent felicity. Thou livest for ever, and Athens from thee derives glory that reacheth to the clouds of heaven. (Greek Anthology 1917, 98-9)]

In his *Defence of Poetry* (c. 1579, suppressed as soon as it was published), Lodge refers to Menander and expresses his envy that, while the Elizabethan satirists had to avoid critiquing the ruling classes, the Greek dramatists were allowed license to (at least in part) rail against corruption: “Menander dare not offend the Senate openly, yet wants he not a parasite to touch them privily” (Smith 1904, 82). It has been demonstrated that Lodge gleaned this information from Jodocus Badius's 1502 *Familiaria in Terentium Praenotamenta* (Ringler 1939). This mediation is not surprising since Menander's works were necessarily known only through fragments quoted by ancient authors until the archaeological discoveries made at the end of the nineteenth century. What is more significant is how questions of poetry and dramatic rhetoric are enmeshed in an ideologically fraught dramatic situation. In writing about the Roman civil wars, the Elizabethans were haunted by the memory of the Wars of the Roses. Even more topically, *The Wounds of Civil War* was written “as a response to the fear of religious war breaking out in England if the

---

Vincent Obsopoeus in 1540, 155; see also Lubin 1603, 196. For the circulation of the Greek Anthology among Elizabethans, see Temple 2018, 56-8. Just one example may suffice: Shakespeare's sonnets 153 and 154 are based on a poem attributed to Marianus Scholasticus in the Anthology.

succession question was not resolved in a sensible way” (Hadfield 2005, 99); the play was “intended to inspire an English audience to make connections between the history of Rome and their own relatively recent past” (91). But, as can be appreciated, here it is not only the legacy of Rome which is interrogated: the Greek tradition is, as well. *The Wounds of Civil War* prompts its audience to reflect on the nature of the state, the civic values that should underpin a commonwealth, the role of art in society, and, ultimately, who should be in charge of socio-cultural life – questions inherited from Greek and Roman antiquity, reflected upon through the ages, and discussed anew in the Renaissance.

The bee metaphor employed in that passage of Lodge’s play evokes one of the most frequently cited images through which authors in the Renaissance thought about intertextuality, encompassing ideas of imitation and *contaminatio*. As Jonathan Bate reminds us:

Ever since antiquity, discourses on poetry and rhetoric had used the figure of the bee to represent not only verbal sweetness and flowing felicity, but also the successful art of assimilation. As a bee gathers pollen from many flowers and transforms them into its own honey, so the best writers emulate their sources but create works that are not servile to their origins. (2019, 59)

This is because “[b]ees illustrate not only transformative imitation, but non-transformative following, gathering, or borrowing” (Pigman 1980, 3; see, more in general, Burrow 2019). Ben Jonson privileged the former, inviting poets “to draw forth out the best, and choicest flowers, with the bee, and turn all into honey, work it into one relish and savour” (1954, 638), while Lodge himself was the first to translate all of Seneca’s epistles to Lucilius into English, including the famous Letter 84 which leaves both interpretations open:

We ought, as they say, to imitate bees, which wander up and down, and pick fit flowers to make honey: then whatsoever they have brought they dispose and place through their combs . . . Concerning them it is not apparent enough, whether they draw a moist substance from the flowers, which is presently honey; or whether that they change those things which they have gathered

with a certain mixture and propriety of their breath, into this taste.  
For it pleaseth some, that not the knowledge of making honey, but  
of gathering it is unto them. (1614, 348)

The bees “upon the Grecian’s lips” in Lodge’s play point to divine inspiration, but can, at the same time, call to mind other processes: as Christopher V. Trinacty argues, Seneca’s influential epistle “features a large number of similes and metaphors to describe the *contaminatio* of influences at play in any work of literature” (2014, 14). What authors wrote was the product of an engagement with different traditions, forms, and models, however much they wished for the Muses’ inspiration.

These ways to think about intertextuality were much theorised and discussed in the Renaissance, and the multiplicity of notions and, especially in England, practices constituted “a joyful abundance” (Miola 2004, 23). On the Continent, “Aristotelian *imitatio* became more complicated owing to the more revolutionary concept of *contaminatio*, which made it possible to experiment with a subtler hybridism of dramatic structures and a combination of diverse theatregrams that were taken up by countless playwrights” (Marrapodi 2011, 2). Montaigne considered this cross-cultural, interlingual practice as something fashionably modern: “It hath often come unto my mind, how such as in our days give themselves to composing of comedies (as the Italians who are very happy in them) employ three or four arguments of Terence and Plautus to make up one of theirs” (1603, 237–8, in Florio’s translation).

However, as Robert S. Miola cautions, such “[c]lassical constituents in Renaissance drama . . . are not always so easy to distinguish and assess . . . Sometimes they appear blended into subtle, complex, even subversive, new creations” (1994, 10). The transformations and mediations they underwent were driven by multiple factors. At times, they stemmed from the need to Christianise the pagan world of antiquity; at other times, they arose from a spirit of competition that rejected merely servile imitation. Samuel Daniel was one among many to advocate that the English language was ready and worthy enough to produce literary texts “not to be built by the square of Greece and Italy” (Alexander 2004, 216), and Richard Mulcaster, the most famous English pedagogue of

the period, was adamant that fanatical devotion to Greek or Latin would result in alienation: “where circumstance is prescription, it is no proof, because Plato praiseth it, because Aristotle alloweth it, because Cicero commends it, because Quintilian is acquainted with it . . . that therefore it is for us to use” (qtd in Nicholson 2014, 41; see also Hornback 2017).

At first glance, it would seem that the early modern English context did not welcome *contaminatio* particularly well. In the English language, according to the *OED*, the noun ‘contamination’ and the verb ‘to contaminate’ had a negative meaning throughout the era, and this is how Thomas Cooper defined the phrase *contaminare fabulas* in his bilingual dictionary, emphasising the link with corruption and pollution which was already operative in Latin: “To mangle comedies, and make or patch one out of two or three” (1578, Ec3). Thus, on a mere lexical level, one could be sceptical on the impact of *contaminatio*. However, authors would be familiar even from their schooldays with Terence’s defence of *contaminatio* in his prologues, joining the plots of different Greek plays. One sees this especially in the prologue of his *Andria*, where Terence answers to the critics according to whom “Contaminari non decere fabulas” (16; plots should not be mixed up/‘contaminated’).<sup>4</sup> Significantly, recent studies have demonstrated that one can speak of a “contaminative dramaturgy” (see Harrison 2023 and Harrison 2024) at work in early modern English drama: a *contaminatio* not just of plots but “of structures” (Clubb 1989, 11). This process was made up of “theatrical elements that did not rely on the material record of a specific literary source but had become dramaturgical elements in play after play by virtue of their effectiveness in performance” (Harrison 2023, 22), hybridising vernacular forms and classical antecedents. For instance, in this volume, Tom Harrison investigates the pervasive influence of Plautine elements in academic dramas, focusing especially on Thomas Tomkis’s *Albumazar* (1615, a direct translation of Della Porta’s *Lo Astrologo*) and George Ruggle’s *Ignoramus* (also 1615). Harrison demonstrates

4 Neither the c. 1520 anonymous translation printed in Paris (Terens in Englysh), nor Morris Kyffin’s 1588 translation of *Andria* printed Terence’s prologue, but Latin editions regularly featured it.

that Plautus – himself an adaptor of New Comedy – shaped English university drama not only through the use of textual references but also through stage action, a strategy that enabled playwrights to sidestep potential repercussions for their satirical attacks.

This contaminative dramaturgy, as William N. West insightfully argues in this volume, is evident in comedies set in Greece that exhibit a notably ‘weak’ sense of Greekness.<sup>5</sup> “[T]he English comedies’ memory of an additional intermediate stratum of Roman cultural history and change” had the effect of positively “index[ing] a mingling and varying of cultures generally” (49). ‘Classicising’ English playwrights tapped into the tradition of cross-cultural *contaminatio*, while in many plays Greek “resources” (see Drakakis 2021) often linger obscurely in nearly imperceptible orientations, complicating traditional source study methodologies. We believe that this approach can provide a heuristic lens for all the essays included in this volume, including those which are not concerned with comedy. Across other genres as well, English playwrights engaged with classical models “with increasingly complex layers of *contaminatio*” (Pollard 2017, 160), although at times they resisted such ‘contaminating’ practices for several reasons, as shall be discussed below.

## 2. The Pleasures of (and Resistance to) Greek Contamination

*Contaminatio* necessarily brings to mind notions of purity and impurity. In early modern England, “[a]lthough the idea of Greek’s purity was powerful, its reception was in practice characterized by many kinds of impurity” (Colley 2025, 11). In their edited volume *What Is a Greek Source on the Early English Stage? Fifteen New Essays* (2024), Silvia Bigliazzi and Tania Demetriou fruitfully invite us to shift our approach when it comes to considering the Greek sources of early modern English drama, building on recent scholarship (including Martindale 2017, Pollard 2017, and Orgel 2021). One should not look at sources without considering issues of

<sup>5</sup> On Greeks on the early modern English stage, see Hopkins 2020, and Findlay and Markidou 2017 for a focus on Greek Shakespeare.

reception, ignoring the horizon of expectations in which those texts and ideas were received. Philological and archival research has been establishing which Greek texts were circulating in England: the crucial questions have now become “whether they *did have* an impact on the literary uses of English, and in turn, whether these afforded *new visions* of Greek works” (Bigliazzi 2024a, 26; author’s emphasis). What did Greek antiquity mean to early modern English dramatists and the culture they reflected and shaped? And when it came to their notions of Greekness, could they possibly be ‘un-Roman’? And how so, given Latin’s pre-eminence in early modern cultural discourses? Through which sets of “confluences” (Smith 1988, 6) did such interactions come to fruition – confluences which complicate traditional, purely linear models of intertextuality? Does it make sense to speak of the English dramatists of the period producing “Greek effects” (Nuttall 2011, 215) in their plays? For instance, Nuttall argues that “Shakespeare never looks steadily at the Greeks, but he does, on occasion, look with Greek eyes” (220). What does this mean?

In the coda of this volume (“Less Greek? Readership, Scholarship, and Printing of Greek Books in Early Modern England”), Marco Duranti explains that early modern English “attempts to disseminate the knowledge of Greek had uncertain results” (298). Although Greek books were published in Elizabethan and Jacobean England, their printing remained strikingly limited in comparison with continental Europe. The paucity of scholarly editions, whether authored by English scholars or reissued from continental presses, points to a restricted readership capable of engaging with such works, and English contributions to classical philology were correspondingly modest. Greater energy was devoted to the editing of Christian texts within a programme of religious and linguistic instruction,<sup>6</sup> yet even here the number of editions was meagre. It often happened

6 “It was virtually impossible to read classical drama in the North without coming into contact with Wittenberg’s teachings” (Lazarus 2020, 57). One should not underestimate the phenomenon of “Philellene Protestantism” in Renaissance Europe and, specifically, England: see Skretkiewicz 2010. Considering Erasmus’s legacy, Neil Rhodes puts it as follows: “Greek became the Trojan horse that spirited the vernacular into the Roman citadel” (2018, n. p.); see also Leo 2019.

that different fields came into contact following this programme: for example, as Carlo M. Bajetta signals also in this volume (“‘More lines than is in the new map’: Modern and Ptolemaic Cosmography in *Twelfth Night*”), John Norton, the stationer at whose instance the English translation of Ortelius was printed (maps which redefined cartography), worked on the publication of Sir Henry Savile’s Greek edition of Chrysostom and, soon after James I’s accession, became the King’s printer of Latin, Greek, and Hebrew.

While translations into English were somewhat more numerous, their volume still fell far short of continental output, with England ranking behind French, Italian, and German vernacular traditions and surpassing only Spanish. These figures suggest that Greek culture exerted a comparatively limited influence on the English intellectual sphere; nevertheless, as Duranti argues, the profound impact of individual works, such as Plutarch’s *Lives* on Shakespeare, illustrates how qualitative significance could counterbalance quantitative scarcity. Also, one should remember that it was Greek prose rather than the verse and drama to be particularly prominent in those decades: “while the modern reader will see the core of Greek literature as being represented by Homer and the great tragedians, a sixteenth-century reader would have been more familiar with Isocrates, Plutarch, Demosthenes, Xenophon and Lucian, either in an academic context (possibly with a parallel Latin [text])” or through English translation (Rhodes 2013, 205). However, as Tania Demetriou and Tanya Pollard point out in relation to the mediated circulation of Homer and the tragedians:

Encountering these texts always necessarily meant encountering multiple, simultaneous versions. They complicated notions of originality and authenticity, and must similarly challenge our understanding of reception and how it works. Their intrinsic multiplicity requires recognition of co-existing, competing, and collaborative models, and alternative forms of authority, opening up an attendant freedom to select from alternative forms of response. To trace Greek texts in this period, then, is to confront the nature of reception in an unusually full complexity. (2017, 23-4)

Thus, Susanne Wofford’s essay in the present volume (“Dismemberment and Bacchic sparagmos in Shakespeare’s *Coriolanus*”) argues that

Shakespeare's *Coriolanus* could channel and revisit the *sparagmoi* of Euripides' *Hippolytus* and *Bacchae* through a number of intertexts, including Seneca's *Phaedra*, Plutarch, and Ovid's *Metamorphoses*. Such "classical intertexts . . . also gave him access to the Greek theatre", allowing us to "see here not only the intense metatheatricity of Euripides coming to dramatic fruition in Shakespeare, but also the complex ironic recognition of the power of the gods that takes place in his plays" (286).

Greekness, in whatever forms it was understood, enjoyed considerable prestige among Elizabethans and Jacobean ( "an aura of Greekness was marketable in Reformation England", Colley 2025, 202), but it was not without its critics. Its 'canonical' status fluctuated, conditioned by the shifting tides of religious and political circumstances (see Baldwin 1944, and more recently Dall'Olio 2024 and Colley 2025). The medieval tradition of the 'merry Greek' portrayal persisted: the heathen Greeks were "revellers, rogues, harlots, liars, cheats, deceivers, panders, drunkards, and parasites" (Hanna 1998, 108); Ben Jonson was sure that his audience would understand when Mosca says to Volpone: "Let's die like Romans, / Since we have lived like Grecians" (Jonson 1995, 3.8. 14-15). Alternatively, one could paint the Greeks and their legacy in a negative light if it served one's purposes: for instance, Francis Bacon stigmatised the Greeks' "philosophy of nature" as having "the foundations in words, in ostentation, in confutation, in sects, in auditories, in schools, in disputations", quoting from the *Timaeus*: "you Grecians, ever children" (2002, 35).<sup>7</sup> To the early moderns, Greece was "an enigma. It was the origin and idealized pinnacle of Western philosophy, tragedy, democracy, human endeavour and, at the same time, an example of decadence" (Findlay and Markidou 2017b, 1). In his essay in the present volume ("The Failure of the Classics in John Fletcher's *The Mad Lover*"), Domenico Lovascio shows that John Fletcher is one of those dramatists who took a distinctly sceptical attitude and detachment towards classical models. Many of his plays have the tendency to question and contaminate them with non-classical elements, as if the classical

<sup>7</sup> Plato's *Timaeus* was known in the Renaissance often through Cicero's translation, on which see Sedley 2013.



past was no longer able to furnish viable models and examples to live by in Jacobean England.

The case of Fletcher reflects a transitional cultural moment, in which, for instance, the epic tradition was still valued, yet there emerged a simultaneous impulse to offer “alternatives, if not to say outright challenges, to the assumed models of epic or heroic behaviour” (Ganberg 2024, 177). There is the sense that Elizabethan and Jacobean plays chart both “the cultural and emotional power of Greek art” and literature and the realisation that “political power ha[d] . . . moved to very different geographical locations in which Greekness, when it is reproduced, must be reproduced with a difference” (Hopkins 2020, 80).

But even English plays set in Greece revolved around difference. As William N. West’s essay “Comedy, *Contaminatio*, and Cosmopolitanism” argues, the evocation of Greece in the early modern comedies is deeply embedded in a classical tradition of comic adaptation that found its models in Roman comedy, wherein ‘Greekness’ became a vehicle for hybridity and transformation. In both Roman and Renaissance comedy, this Graecising interest facilitates the introduction of cultural alterity, gesturing towards a cosmopolitan ethos – one that embraces cross-cultural encounter as a site of generative transformation. West argues that the indirectness and imprecision of these connections enhance rather than limit their power. Greekness could prove beneficial to discuss current political problems: Evgeniia Ganberg’s “Defining Deification: Thomas Heywood’s *The Golden Age*” in this volume shows how themes of tyrannicide and political power were addressed by Heywood through the use of the euhemerised mythography developed by William Caxton in *The Recuyell of the Historyes of Troye* (1473), employing the Graeco-Roman myths to show audiences how people could hold power over kings and poets alike.

The complexity of the receptions of Greek texts is reflected in the variety of the ‘sources’ used in early modern English drama which are not limited to tragic and comic texts. On the contrary, the freshly rediscovered Greek antiquity flowed into early modern English drama through the most diverse channels, presenting itself as a metamorphic and ever-resurfacing interlocutor. The early moderns could know about tragic heroines through novellas

(Burrow 2024 and Demetriou 2024) and accounts founds in mythographic compendia, besides reading Greek editions which often did not feature any relevant paratexts (Duranti 2021 and 2022), or Latin renditions which transformed the Greek text as much as the medieval mediations had. Matters grow even more intricate when Greek drama is considered: “[a]lthough theoretical writings on the continent were copious, the debate in England lagged behind and knowledge of classical plays was earned mainly through direct access to the texts of Greek and Latin drama”. This knowledge “was conveyed through teaching syllabuses in schools, Universities and Inns of Court, where plays were also put on” (Bigliazzi 2021, 7; see also Bigliazzi 2026 on the chorus in early modern drama), and early modern performances and textual practices left their marks on stage productions of Greek and Latin scripts (Smith 1988, 7; see Bigliazzi 2024b and 2024c).

Historians such as Herodotus, Appian, and Plutarch (the last two authors were Greeks who were granted Roman citizenship), provided drama with material and characters, overcoming to some extent the well-known Aristotelian opposition between historiography and literature (*Poetics* 1451a36-8). Greek philosophers or moralists were also engaged in productive dialogue, as well as geographers (see Bajetta’s contribution to this volume on geography in *Twelfth Night*). Other times the presence of Greek texts is subterranean: Thomas Nashe, in his *Summer’s Last Will and Testament* (published in 1600), has Orion deliver a ca. 100-line-long speech in commendation of dogs modelled after a speech by Sextus Empiricus which he could apparently find in a no longer extant English translation of the *Pyrrhoniae Hypotyposes* (McKerrow 1910, 120) which flowed into *The Sceptic*, ascribed to Walter Raleigh and first published in 1651. Sextus Empiricus’s was probably the most important text for the transmission of Pyrrhonian scepticism, a revolutionary doctrine, but Nashe used it in his play mainly to embellish a passage about hunting hounds.

Often, Elizabethans and Jacobean relied on classical frameworks but refashioned them. In this volume, Francesco Dall’Olio (“‘The seat of mighty conquerors’: Marlowe’s *Tamburlaine* and the Influence of the Ancient Persian Empire”) points out the narrative patterns in Marlowe’s *Tamburlaine* that strongly recall tales

contained in Herodotus's *Histories* and Xenophon's *Cyropaedia*, but besides this identification, he problematises Marlowe's creative appropriation of them. He reimagines ancient narrative structures – cultural, ideological, and moral – to forge a theatrical epic that speaks simultaneously to Humanist discourses and mass theatrical appetites. The impact of Greek texts is thus not always easy to assess. Take, for instance, the case of the Hellenistic romances. According to Helen Moore, “works such as the *Aethiopica* . . . intrigue precisely because they appear in English contexts in obedience to logics other than that of strictly defined ‘source’ and ‘text’” (2017, 223). In the present volume, Yves Peyré (“Proserpine's Daughters? On Shakespeare and the Greek Romances”) re-examines the circulation of Greek prose fiction among the Elizabethans and Jacobean and investigates how far they fed into Shakespeare's late plays. Importantly, the mediated reception of Greek romances played a significant role in transmitting themes drawn from classical Greek poetry and tragedy. Peyré explores how these classical elements were transformed when adapted into new aesthetic forms. While intertextual connections to Homer and the Greek tragedians were evident in Hellenistic romances – serving as part of a sophisticated literary game intended to delight readers – Shakespeare, in *Pericles*, *Prince of Tyre* and *The Winter's Tale*, intentionally prompts us to reflect on the “improbability of ancient narratives . . . set against resolutely innovative theatricality in an artistic form that straddles boldly ancient fiction and new creation” (175).

Even when influence appears as linear and explicit as traditional philological studies might wish, intertextual connections often prove more nuanced than expected. For instance, Francesco Morosi's essay “The Importance of Being Simple. Thomas Randolph, Ben Jonson and the Reception of *Clouds* in Early Modern English Drama” signals the difference in approach to Aristophanes which one can find when analysing Thomas Randolph's comedies. Whereas *Hey for Honesty Down with Knavery* can be safely regarded as a ‘tradaptation’ of Aristophanes' *Wealth*, *The Drinking Academy* (1620s) shows a very different approach, in that it shares an ideological consonance with Aristophanes' *Clouds*. It aligns itself with *Clouds* not necessarily on the level of dramaturgy, but more significantly, on the level of ideology: Randolph adopts from Aristophanes a didactic stance

which is exemplified in the stigmatisation of the moral decay brought by new, fashionable forms of culture. Ben Jonson himself (Randolph's 'poetical' father) went through different stages in his approach to Aristophanes. The stance of Jonson's early works, such as his 'comical satires' of the years 1598-1601, clearly displays his willingness to summon powerful authorities best known to him and to the happy few (as pointed out in Grilli and Morosi 2024). If in the first period of his production Jonson seems to exemplify a somewhat superficial and instrumental appropriation of Greek models (such as Aristophanes in relation to comic drama), his later work bears the mark of a closer and more thoughtful relationship with those same sources in terms of ideology and dramatic structure. That this gradual assimilation, still imperfect at the end of the sixteenth century, was fully accomplished before King James's death is confirmed by the sense of cohesion between the works of minor poets in Jonson's orbit, such as Thomas Randolph, and those of their mentor and model.

Thus, the reception of Greek texts in early modern England appears even less as a matter of transmission and more as a process of creative friction. Dramatists staged Greekness not as a fixed tradition but as a mutable and contested category, one that could at once confer authority, provoke scepticism, and enable cosmopolitan hybridity. In this sense, *contaminatio* is not merely a feature of textual history but a critical mode of understanding how Greek antiquity was reimagined on the early modern English stage – less a matter of preserving an intact legacy than of exposing the fractures, reconfigurations, and paradoxes through which cultural authority was both claimed and unsettled.

### 3. Conclusion

The chapters make it clear that notions of Greekness in early modern England developed less as a stable inheritance and more as a shifting constellation of contaminations and appropriations. Greekness implied a set of refractions and confluences through Roman adaptations, scholastic filters, religious anxieties, and vernacular experimentation. If imitation and *contaminatio* alike can

be recast as creative rather than corrupting practices, how might this prompt us to re-evaluate the value-laden binaries – original versus derivative, pure versus polluted, faithful versus distorted – that still govern much of our critical vocabulary? The interplay between reverence for the Greek past and scepticism about its adequacy as a model for a new age urges us to ask not only how the Elizabethans and Jacobean saw the Greeks, but also how our own methodologies continue to reproduce or unsettle such ambivalences.

The questions posed in this volume speak to broader concerns about cultural transmission and the politics of reception. If the Greeks were simultaneously a source of prestige and an object of suspicion, what might this ambivalence reveal about the processes by which cultures authorise or marginalise certain legacies? To what extent do hybrid practices – whether in the form of contaminative dramaturgy, cross-cultural re-semanticisations, or ironic refunctionings – signal a more cosmopolitan mode of cultural memory, one that privileges negotiation over purity, and invention over fidelity? Finally, might the Elizabethan and Jacobean stage, in its restless reworkings of Greekness, invite us to reflect on the stakes of our own engagements with classical antiquity today? Rather than asking what is ‘authentically’ Greek, perhaps the more urgent task is to consider how ‘Greekness’ continues to serve as a generative site of questioning – about cultural identity, authority, and the politics of borrowing – that remains as pressing for us as it was for the early modern period.

## Works Cited

- Alexander, Gavin, ed. 2004. *Sidney's The Defence of Poesy and Selected Renaissance Literary Criticism*. Harmondsworth: Penguin.
- Altman, Joel B. 1978. *The Tudor Play of the Mind. Rhetorical Inquiry and the Development of Elizabethan Drama*. Berkeley and Los Angeles: University of California Press.
- Bacon, Francis. 2002. *The Major Works Including New Atlantis and the Essays*. Edited by Brian Vickers. Oxford and New York: Oxford University Press.
- Baldwin, T. W. 1944. *William Shakspeare's "Small Latine & Lesse Greeke"*, 2 vols. Urbana: University of Illinois Press.

- Bardelmann, Claire. 2010. "Apollo". In *A Dictionary of Shakespeare's Classical Mythology*, edited by Yves Peyré. <http://www.shakmyth.org/myth/28/apollo/some+secondary+sources> (Accessed 11 June 2025).
- Bate, Jonathan. 2019. *How the Classics Made Shakespeare*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Bigliazzi, Silvia, and Tania Demetriou, eds. 2024. *What Is a Greek Source on the Early English Stage? Fifteen New Essays*. Pisa: ETS.
- Bigliazzi, Silvia. 2026 (forthcoming). *The Chorus in Early Modern English Tragedy: the One and the Many*. London: Bloomsbury.
- 2024a. "Introduction". In Bigliazzi and Demetriou 2024, 17-43.
- 2024b. "The Strange Case of the Singing Chorus That Was Not There. On the Authority of Authorities". In Bigliazzi and Demetriou 2024, 71-108.
- 2024c. "'A Tragedie Written in Greeke': How Jocasta was Made 'Classical'". *Early Theatre* 27 (2): 95-114.
- 2021. "Introduction". In "'Well-Staged Syllables': From Classical to Early Modern English Metres in Drama". Special issue edited by Silvia Bigliazzi. *Skenè. Journal of Theatre and Drama Studies* 7 (2): 5-19.
- Britton, Dennis Austin, and Melissa Walter, eds. 2018. *Rethinking Shakespeare Source Study*. Abingdon: Routledge.
- Burrow, Colin. 2024. "Invisible Books: Shakespeare and 'Narrative Sources'". In Bigliazzi and Demetriou 2024, 47-60.
- 2019. *Imitating Authors. Plato to Futurity*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Clubb, Louise George. 1989. *Italian Drama in Shakespeare's Time*. New Haven and London: Yale University Press.
- Colley, John. 2025. *Humanism, English Literature, and the Translation of Greek, 1430–1560*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Cooper, Thomas. 1578. *Thesaurus Linguae Romanae & Britannicae*. London: [Henry Denham].
- Dall'Olio, Francesco. 2024. *King Tyrannos. Pensiero greco e tirannide nell'Inghilterra rinascimentale*. Pisa: ETS.
- Demetriou, Tania. 2024. "Much Ado About Greek tragedy? Shakespeare, Euripides, and the histoire tragique". In Bigliazzi and Demetriou 2024, 409-42.
- Demetriou, Tania, and Tanya Pollard. 2017. "Homer and Greek Tragedy in Early Modern England's Theatres: an Introduction". *Classical Receptions Journal* 9 (1): 1-35.
- Drakakis, John. 2021. *Shakespeare's Resources*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.

- Duranti, Marco. 2022. “*Ecclesiae et Rei Publicae*”. *Greek Drama and the Education of the Ruling Class in Elizabethan England*. Pisa: ETS.
- 2021. ““An unexplored sea”. The Metres of Greek Drama in Early Modern England”. *Skenè. Journal of Theatre and Drama Studies* 7 (2): 65-80.
- Findlay, Alison, and Vassiliki Markidou, eds. 2017a. *Shakespeare and Greece*. London: Bloomsbury.
- 2017b. “Introduction”. In *Shakespeare and Greece*, edited by Alison Findlay and Vassiliki Markidou, 1-44. London: Bloomsbury.
- Ganberg, Evgeniia. 2024. “‘Of gentle and ignoble, base and kings’: the Transformations of the Homeric Simile on the Early Modern English Stage”. In Bigliazzi and Demetriou 2024, 169-93.
- Greek Anthology*, 1919. Book 9 (vol. 3). Edited and translated by W.R. Paton. Loeb Classical Library. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Grilli, Alessandro, and Francesco Morosi. 2024. *Action, Song, and Poetry: Musical and Poetical Meta-Performance in Aristophanes and Ben Jonson*. Pisa: ETS.
- Hadfield, Andrew. 2005. “Thomas Lodge and Elizabethan Republicanism”. *Nordic Journal of English Studies* 4 (2): 89-105.
- Hanna, Sara. 1998. “Shakespeare’s Greek World: the Temptations of the Sea”. In *Playing the Globe: Genre and Geography in English Renaissance Drama*, edited by John Gillies and Virginia Mason Vaughan, 107-28. London: Associated University Presses.
- Harrison, Thomas. 2024. “Hermaphroditical Authority: Epicene and the Aristophanic Chorus”. In Bigliazzi and Demetriou 2024, 295-333.
- 2023. *Imitation and Contamination of the Classics in the Comedies of Ben Jonson: Guides not Commanders*. Abingdon: Routledge.
- Hopkins, Lisa. 2020. *Greeks and Trojans on the Early Modern English Stage*. Berlin: De Gruyter.
- Horace. 1942. *Satires, Epistles and Ars Poetica*. Translated by H. Rushton Fairclough. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Hornback, Robert. 2017. “Classical Drama Before Shakespeare: From imitation to ‘Mimic Men’”. In *The Routledge Research Companion to Shakespeare and Classical Literature*, edited by Sean Keilen and Nick Moschovakis, 203-14. London and New York: Routledge.
- Jonson, Ben. 1995. *The Alchemist and Other Plays*. Edited by Gordon Campbell. Oxford and New York: Oxford University Press.
- 1954. *Timber, or Discoveries*. In Ben Jonson, edited by C. H. Herford, Percy Simpson, and Evelyn Simpson, vol. 8, 123-295. Oxford: Clarendon.
- Lazarus, Micha. 2020. “Tragedy at Wittenberg: Sophocles in Reformation Europe”. *Renaissance Quarterly* 73 (1): 33-77.
- Leo, Russ. 2019. *Tragedy as Philosophy in the Reformation World*. Oxford:



- Oxford University Press.
- Lodge, Thomas. 1614. *The Works of Lucius Annaeus Seneca, Both Moral and Natural*. London: William Stansby.
- 1594. *The Wounds of Civil War*. London: John Danter.
- Lubin, Eilhard, ed. 1603. *Florilegium omnium veterum Graecorum poetarum epigrammatum in septem libros divisum*. Heidelberg: Commelinus.
- Marrapodi, Michele. 2011. “Shakespeare Against Genres”. In *Shakespeare and Renaissance Literary Theories: Anglo-Italian Transactions*, edited by Michele Marrapodi, 1-22. Farnham: Ashgate.
- Martindale, Charles. 2015. “Afterword: Greek Texts and the Early Modern Stage”. *Classical Receptions Journal* 9 (1): 166-76.
- McKerrow, Ronald B. ed. 1910. *The Works of Thomas Nashe*. Vol. 4. London: Sidgwick and Jackson.
- Miola, Robert S. 2000. “Seven Types of Intertextuality”. In *Shakespeare and Intertextuality. The Transition of Cultures Between Italy and England in the Early Modern Period*, edited by Michele Marrapodi, 23-38. Roma: Bulzoni.
- 1994. *Shakespeare and Classical Comedy: the Influence of Plautus and Terence*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Montaigne, Michel de. 1603. *The Essayes Or Morall, Politike and Millitarie Discourses of Lo: Michaell de Montaigne, transl. by John Florio*. London: Sims.
- Moore, Helen. 2017. “Dramatizing Heliodorus” In *Timely Voices: Romance Writing in English Literature*, edited by Goran Stanivukovic, 221-37. Montreal: McGill-Queen’s University Press.
- Morosi, Francesco. 2024. “Aristophanes in *The Staple of News*: Ideology and Drama”. In Bigliazzi and Demetriou 2024, 223-62.
- Nicholson, Catherine. 2014. *Uncommon Tongues: Eloquence and Eccentricity in the English Renaissance*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Nuttall, A. D. 2011. “Action at a Distance: Shakespeare and the Greeks”. In *Shakespeare and the Classics*, edited by Charles Martindale and A. B. Taylor, 209-24. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Obsopoeus, Vincent, ed. 1540. *In graecorum epigrammatum libros quatuor*. Basle: Brylinger.
- Orgel, Stephen. 2024. “England and the Classics”. In *From the Classics to the Italian World: Elizabethan Essays*, 191-267. Pisa: ETS.
- 2021. *Wit’s Treasury: Renaissance England and the Classics*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Pigman III, G.W. 1980. “Versions of Imitation in the Renaissance”. *Renaissance Quarterly* 33 (1): 1-32.



- Plutarch 1998. *The Lives of the Noble Grecians and Romans*. Translated by Thomas North. Selected and with an Introduction, by Judith Mossman. Ware: Wordsworth Classics.
- Pollard, Tanya. 2017. *Greek Tragic Women on Shakespearean Stages*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Rhodes, Neil. 2018. *Common: The Development of Literary Culture in Sixteenth-Century England*. Oxford: Oxford University Press (e-book).
- 2013. “Marlowe and the Greeks”. *Renaissance Studies* 27 (2): 199-218.
- Ringler, William. 1939. “The Source of Lodge’s *Reply to Gosson*”. *The Review of English Studies* 15 (58): 164-71.
- Sedley, David. 2013. “Cicero and the Timaeus”. In *Aristotle, Plato and Pythagoreanism in the First Century BC: New Directions for Philosophy*, edited by Malcolm Schofield, 187-205. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Scheinberg, Susan. 1979. “The Bee Maidens of the Homeric Hymn to Hermes”. *Harvard Studies in Classical Philology* 83: 1-28.
- Skretkowicz, Victor. 2010. *European Erotic Romance: Philhellene Protestantism, Renaissance Translation, and English Literary Politics*. Manchester : Manchester University Press.
- Smith, Bruce. 1988. *Ancient Scripts and Modern Experience on the English Stage. 1500–1700*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Smith, G. Gregory, ed. 1904. *Elizabethan Critical Essays*, vol. 1. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Temple, Camilla. 2018. “The Greek Anthology in the Renaissance: Epigrammatic Scenes of Reading in Spenser’s *Faerie Queene*”. *Studies in Philology* 115 (1): 48-72.
- Trinacty, Christopher V. 2014. *Senecan Tragedy and the Reception of Augustan Poetry*. Oxford and New York: Oxford University Press.



## SKENÈ. TEXTS AND STUDIES

Founded in 2014 by Guido Avezzi, Silvia Bigliazzi, and Alessandro Serpieri, *Skenè. Texts and Studies* is a peer-reviewed online and print-on-demand series of volumes including annotated editions of drama texts and primary sources as well as critical studies on the theory, practice, and history of theatre and drama. The series also aims at furthering original research by producing texts hitherto unpublished.

### *Texts*: scholarly and annotated editions

Maria Serena Marchesi, *5 November 1866: The Story of Henry Irving and Dion Boucicault's Hunted Down, or, The Two Lives of Mary Leigh*. Texts 1, 2016 (pp. 158)

Ulrich von Wilamowitz-Moellendorf, *Was ist eine attische Tragödie? What is an Attic Tragedy?*, Introduction and Notes by Gherardo Ugolini, Translation by Lisanna Calvi and Stefan Rabanus. Texts 2, 2016 (pp. 266)

Silvia Bigliazzi, *Julius Caesar 1935: Shakespeare and Censorship in Fascist Italy*. Texts 3, 2019 (pp. 406)

Emanuel Stelzer, *Shakespeare Among Italian Criminologists and Psychiatrists, 1870s-1920s*. Texts 4, 2021 (pp. 238)

Marco Duranti, *"Ecclesiae et Rei Publicae": Greek Drama and the Education of the Ruling Class in Elizabethan England*. Texts 5, 2022 (pp. 148)

### *Texts DA*: texts also published in digital archives

#### CEMP - Classical and Early Modern English Drama

Fabio Ciambella (ed.), *War Discourse in Four Paradoxes: the Case of Thomas Scott (1602) and the Digges (1604)*. Texts DA 1 - CEMP 7, 2022 (pp. 226)

Marco Duranti and Emanuel Stelzer (eds), *A Feast of Strange Opinions: Classical and Early Modern Paradoxes on the English Renaissance Stage*. Texts DA 2 - CEMP 1.1, 2022 (pp. 279)

Marco Duranti and Emanuel Stelzer (eds.), *A Feast of Strange Opinions: Classical and Early Modern Paradoxes on the English Renaissance Stage*. Texts DA 3 - CEMP 1.2, 2023 (pp. 284)

#### ClARE - Classical Receptions in Early Modern English Drama

Silvia Bigliazzi and Tania Demetriou (eds), *What Is a Greek Source on the Early English Stage? Fifteen New Essays*. Texts DA 4 - ClARE 1, 2024 (pp. 509)

Alessandro Grilli and Emanuel Stelzer (eds), *"The bees that sat upon the Grecian's lips": Classical Contaminations in Early Modern English Drama*. Texts DA 5 - ClARE 2, 2024 (pp. 314)

### *Studies I: original book-length studies*

- Silvia Bigliazzi, Francesco Lupi, Gherardo Ugolini (eds), *Συναγωνίζεσθαι: Studies in Honour of Guido Avezzi*. Studies I 1, 2018 (pp. 1089)
- Silvia Bigliazzi (ed.), *Oedipus at Colonus and King Lear: Classical and Early Modern Intersections*. Studies I 2, 2019 (pp. 450)

### SaM - Shakespeare and the Mediterranean

- Silvia Bigliazzi and Emanuel Stelzer (eds), *Shakespeare and the Mediterranean • 1: Romeo and Juliet*. Studies I 3 - SaM 1, 2022 (pp. 296)
- Fabio Ciambella (ed.), *Shakespeare and the Mediterranean • 2: The Tempest*. Studies I 4 - SaM 2, 2023 (pp. 200)
- Cristiano Ragni (ed.), *Shakespeare and the Mediterranean • 3: Antony and Cleopatra*. Studies I 6 - SaM 3, 2024 (pp. 240)
- Beatrice Righetti and Roberta Zaroni (eds), *Shakespeare and the Mediterranean • 4: The Comedy of Errors and Twelfth Night*. Studies I 9 - SaM 4, 2025 (pp. 250)

### AIRSR - Anglo-Italian Renaissance Studies Reprints

- Louise George Clubb, *Renaissance Theatergrams: from Italy to England*. Studies I 5 - AIRSR 1, 2024 (pp. 336)
- Stephen Orgel, *From the Classics to the Italian World: Elizabethan Essays*. Studies I 7 - AIRSR 2, 2024 (pp. 318)
- Richard Andrews, *Italian Theatrical Traditions and Shakespeare's Drama. Selected Essays*. Studies I 8 - AIRSR 3, 2024 (pp. 441)

### *Studies II: shorter publications*

- Andrew L. Brown, *The Length of the Prologue of Aeschylus's Choephoroi*, Studies II 1, 2015 (pp. 101)
- Alessandro Serpieri, *Shakespeare's Drama in Poetry*. Studies II 2, 2018<sup>2</sup> (pp. 201)
- David Schalkwyk, *Words in the World: The Bakhtin Circle*. Studies II 3, 2016 (pp. 127)
- Giovanna di Martino, *Translating and Adapting Aeschylus' Seven Against Thebes in America*. Studies II 4, 2020 (pp. 184)
- Alessandro Grilli and Francesco Morosi, *Action, Song, and Poetry. Musical and Poetical Meta-performance in Aristophanes and Ben Jonson*. Studies II 5, 2023 (pp. 169)
- Micha Lazarus, *Leon Modena's Kinah Shemor*. Studies II 6, 2023 (pp. 140)
- Silvia Montiglio, *There is Pleasure when an Enemy Suffers: Schadenfreude in Greek Tragedy*. Studies II 8, 2024 (pp. 134)

### Shakespeare - Serpieri Lectures

- Sonia Massai, *'The Operation of Individual Judgement': in Praise of Critical Editing*. Studies II 7 - Shakespeare 1 - Serpieri Lectures 1, 2024 (pp. 116)
- Emma Smith, *Who Wrote Pericles?* Studies II 9 - Shakespeare 2 - Serpieri Lecture 2, 2025 (pp. 89)



Edizioni ETS

Palazzo Rucellai - Lungarno Mediceo, 16, I-50127 Pisa

[info@edizioniets.com](mailto:info@edizioniets.com) - [www.edizioniets.com](http://www.edizioniets.com)

Finito di stampare nel mese di settembre 2025