

Annalisa Baicchi

On Acting and Thinking

Studies Bridging between Speech Acts and Cognition



Edizioni ETS



www.edizioniets.com

© Copyright 2012
EDIZIONI ETS
Piazza Carrara, 16-19, I-56126 Pisa
info@edizioniets.com
www.edizioniets.com

Distribuzione
PDE, Via Tevere 54, I-50019 Sesto Fiorentino [Firenze]

ISBN 978-884673510-2

*To the sweet memory of my Parents,
who lovingly led me along
the path of intellectual curiosity.*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>List of Figures and Tables</i>	9
INTRODUCTION	
0.1 Illocutionary meaning: by way of introduction	11
0.2 Aims and scope of the research	13
0.3 Methodology and data retrieval	15
0.4 Overview of the chapters	16
CHAPTER 1	
Speech Act Theory: traditional perspectives and current research	
1.1 Paving the way to Speech Act Theory	17
1.2 Language as use	21
1.3 Speech Acts in Functional-Cognitive Linguistics	45
1.3.1 Illocutionary meaning in the <i>Lexical Constructional Model</i>	47
1.4 Round-up and conclusion	58
CHAPTER 2	
The <i>Cost-Benefit Cognitive Model</i>	
2.1 The metonymic grounding of illocutionary meaning	62
2.2 Illocutionary constructions in the <i>Lexical Constructional Model</i>	68
2.2.1 Socio-cultural variables	69
2.2.2 The relevance of <i>Idealized Cognitive Models</i>	75
2.2.3 Refinements to <i>Idealized Cognitive Models</i>	76
2.2.4 Illocutionary scenarios as high-level <i>Idealized Cognitive Models</i>	79
2.2.5 The metonymic import of forceful interaction	80
2.2.6 The ICM of metaphor	85
2.3 The <i>Cost-Benefit Cognitive Model</i>	87
2.3.1 The Cost-Benefit ICM	92
2.4 Round-up and conclusions	98
CHAPTER 3	
The suggesting high-level situational cognitive model: case study 1	
3.1 The suggesting high-level situational cognitive model	101
3.1.1 The use of the performative verb	108
3.1.2 Routinized formulae	110
3.1.3 Polar questions	113
3.1.4 Co-hortative constructions	114

3.1.5	The imperative construction	116
3.1.6	The conditional construction	117
3.1.7	Modality	118
3.1.8	Extraposition	122
3.2	Round-up and conclusions	123
CHAPTER 4		
The offering high-level situational cognitive model: case study 2		
4.1	The offering high-level situational cognitive model	131
4.1.1	The interrogative construction	134
4.1.2	The use of the performative verb	140
4.1.3	Modality	140
4.1.4	The imperative construction	142
4.1.5	The conditional construction	143
4.2	Round-up and conclusions	144
CHAPTER 5		
The thanking high-level situational cognitive model: case study 3		
5.1	The thanking high-level situational cognitive model	151
5.1.1	The <i>Thank You X!</i> construction	155
5.1.2	Complimenting	157
5.1.3	Expressing affection	158
5.1.4	Lack of ability	161
5.1.5	Lack of knowledge	163
5.1.6	Lack of necessity	164
5.1.7	Congratulating	165
5.1.8	Promising	166
5.2	Round-up and conclusions	167
CONCLUSIVE REMARKS		173
REFERENCES		179

List of Figures and Tables

- Figure 1. The *LCM* integration of previous theories
- Figure 2. The core components of the *Lexical Constructional Model*
- Figure 3. Simplified representation of a case of subsumption
- Figure 4. The overall architecture of the *Lexical Constructional Model*
- Figure 5. Scenario for the assertive speech act
- Figure 6. Scenario for directive speech acts
- Figure 7. ABILITY TO PERFORM AN ACTION FOR A LINGUISTIC ACTION
- Figure 8. WILLINGNESS TO PERFORM AN ACTION FOR A LINGUISTIC ACTION
- Figure 9. A FUTURE ACTION TO PERFORM AN ACTION FOR A LINGUISTIC ACTION
- Figure 10. COMPULSION
- Figure 11. REMOVAL OF RESTRAINT
- Figure 12. BLOCKAGE
- Figure 13. Requestive ICM
- Figure 14. REQUEST FOR PERMISSION IS GIVING A SUGGESTION metonymy
- Figure 15. ASKING ABOUT THE REASON IS GIVING A SUGGESTION metonymy
- Figure 16. ASKING QUESTIONS ABOUT THINKING IS GIVING A SUGGESTION metonymy
- Figure 17. REQUEST FOR ASSENT IS GIVING A SUGGESTION metonymy
- Figure 18. ASKING FOR SUGGESTING FOR INVITING double metonymy
- Figure 19. COMPULSION
- Figure 20. The Cost-Benefit scale in the suggesting ICM
- Figure 21. ASKING FOR PREFERENCE IS OFFERING metonymy
- Figure 22. A QUESTION ABOUT A FUTURE ACTION IS AN OFFER metonymy
- Figure 23. ASKING FOR PERMISSION IS OFFERING metonymy
- Figure 24. REQUEST FOR PERMISSION IS OFFER OF HELP metonymy
- Figure 25. ABILITY TO PERFORM AN ACTION IS AN OFFER metonymy
- Figure 26. AN ORDER TO PERFORM AN ACTION IS AN OFFER metonymy
- Figure 27. The Cost-Benefit scale in the offering ICM
- Figure 28. Indirect access to the thanking scenario
- Figure 29. EXPRESSING AFFECTION IS THANKING metonymy
- Figure 30. LACK OF ABILITY IS THANKING metonymy
- Figure 31. LACK OF KNOWLEDGE IS THANKING metonymy
- Figure 32. LACK OF NECESSITY IS THANKING metonymy
- Figure 33. CONGRATULATING IS THANKING metonymy
- Table 1. The Action Scenario
- Table 2. Dynamicity
- Table 3. Genericity and Situationality
- Table 4. Levels of description for Idealized Cognitive Models

Table 5.	Force Dynamics in language
Table 6.	The <i>requesting</i> high-level cognitive model
Table 7.	The <i>offering</i> high-level cognitive model
Table 8.	The <i>apologizing</i> high-level cognitive model
Table 9.	Speech act categories in terms of the Cost-Benefit ICM
Table 10.	The suggesting high-level cognitive model
Table 11.	PROTOTYPICALITY of sentence types for suggesting
Table 12.	Scales for the suggesting ICM
Table 13.	The suggesting ICM
Table 14.	The offering high-level cognitive model
Table 15.	PROTOTYPICALITY of sentence types for offering
Table 16.	Scales for the offering ICM
Table 16.	The offering ICM
Table 18.	The thanking high-level cognitive model
Table 19.	Thanking strategies (Eisenstein & Bodman 1986)
Table 20.	Elements of the thanking ICM
Table 21.	The thanking ICM
Table 22.	Conceptual metonymies for the thanking ICM